

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,086	09/26/2001	William E. Richeson	TEK01 P-333	2451
277 7590 06/14/2007 PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP 695 KENMOOR, S.E.			EXAMINER	
			ROJAS, BERNARD	
P O BOX 2567 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2832	
			,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/964,086	RICHESON, WILLIAM E.	RICHESON, WILLIAM E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bernard Rojas	2832		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-22,32-35,37-43 and 45-47 is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 32-35,37-43 and 45-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	• .	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 32-35, 37-43, 45, 46 and 47 is withdrawn in consideration to previously applied Groove (US 4,004,262). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claims 1-22 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 1, the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest, in the claimed combination, an electromagnet for use in a brake with a polymer impregnated powder metal core with the claimed Young's modulus of elasticity between 6.8 to 29.5 million psi, and an injection molded material with a donor material having an elasticity greater than 2 million psi, attached to the powder metal core.

Claim 3, the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest, in the claimed combination, an electromagnet for use in a brake with a polymer impregnated powder metal core with the claimed Young's modulus of elasticity between 6.8 to 29.5 million psi, and an injection molded material of the claimed composition with a donor material having an elasticity greater than 2 million psi, attached to the powder metal core.

Claims 9 and 14, the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest, in the claimed combination, an electromagnet for use in a brake with a powder metal housing and core, a bobbin, a coil and a friction material of the claimed composition.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,086

Art Unit: 2832

Claims 37 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Groove (US 4,004,262).

Claim 37, Grove discloses an electromagnet with a polymer impregnated powder metal core [60, col. 5 lines 30 to 40] containing a coil [64] with a moldable material [100, col. 6 lines 25 to 30] covering at least a portion of the core, the electromagnet having a magnetic cross section that is constant to within plus or minus three percent [figure 2] wherein the moldable material comprises a donor material with an elasticity greater than about 2 million psi [Lexan, epoxy, polyurethane and a natural or synthetic rubber].

Claim 45, Grove discloses an electromagnet with a polymer impregnated powder metal core [60, col. 5 lines 30 to 40] containing a coil [64] with a moldable material [100, col. 6 lines 25 to 30] covering at least a portion of a face of said metal core, wherein the moldable material comprises a donor material with an elasticity greater than about 2 million psi [Lexan, epoxy, polyurethane and a natural or synthetic rubber].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 32-35, 38-43, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groove (US 4,004,262).

Claim 32 and 33, Grove discloses an electromagnet with a polymer impregnated powder metal housing and core [60, col. 5 lines 30 to 40], a bobbin [70], a coil [64] and a friction material [100] comprising a polymeric donor material [col. 6 lines 7-24].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the thickness of the rim of the housing, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Claim 34, Groove discloses the electromagnet of claim 32, wherein said polymeric donor material comprises at least one of polyethylenesulfide, epoxy, and phenolic [col. 6 lines 17-25].

Claim 35, Groove discloses the electromagnet of claim 34, wherein said polymeric donor material comprises glass fibers [col. 6 lines 17-25].

Claim 38, Groove discloses making a high-density sinter iron powder metal core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the composition of the powder metal to a specific Young's modulus, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 39, Groove discloses the claimed invention with the exception of using polyphenylene sulfide as a donor material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polyphenylene sulfide

as a donor material, since applicant has not disclosed that this specific donor material solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it

Claims 40 and 41, Grove discloses an electromagnet with a polymer impregnated, a high-density sinter iron powder metal core [60, col. 5 lines 30 to 40] containing a coil [64] with a moldable material [100, col. 6 lines 25 to 30] covering at least a portion of the core, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a powder core strength within a certain range to adjust the strength of the core depending on the environment for which it is used, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 42, Groove discloses that the moldable material comprises a donor material with an elasticity greater than about 2 million psi [Lexan, epoxy, polyurethane and a natural or synthetic rubber].

Claim 43, Groove discloses making a high-density sinter iron powder metal core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the composition of the powder metal to a specific Young's modulus, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 46, Grove discloses an electromagnet with a polymer impregnated, a highdensity sinter iron powder metal core [60, col. 5 lines 30 to 40] containing a coil [64] with a moldable material [100, col. 6 lines 25 to 30] covering at least a portion of a face of said core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a powder core strength within a certain range to adjust the strength of the core depending on the environment for which it is used, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 47, Grove discloses an electromagnet with a polymer impregnated, a high-density sinter iron powder metal core [60, col. 5 lines 30 to 40] containing a coil [64] with a moldable material [100, col. 6 lines 25 to 30] covering at least a portion of a face of said core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the composition of the powder metal to a specific Young's modulus, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M and W-F, 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,086

Art Unit: 2832

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Band 1C

ELVIN ENAD EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY